Frontiers of Multidisciplinary Exploration
Volume 1, Issue 2, September 2025, Page 1-07
ISSN: 3139-4086

Advancing Urban Sustainability: Integrating Environmental, Social, and Economic Dimensions

Anil Kumar

HRIT University, Ghaziabad, India
*Corresponding author's Email: aniltyagi75@yahoo.co.in

Received 11 April 2025, Accepted 8 July 2025, Published online: 1 September 2025

Abstract

Urban areas are the focus of the 21st-century sustainability development activities. The intensive urbanization and development of cities, limited resources and the climate change have exacerbated the need to make cities more holistic concerning environmental safety, social inclusion and financial sustainability. The given paper dwells upon such concept as urban sustainability within the framework of the triple bottom line (TBL) model, the emphasis being on the interdependence of the ecological quality, social well-being, and economic activity. The paper is based on the Indian case studies such as the East Kolkata Wetlands and the green infrastructure plan in Pune, that provide a way through which cities can implement an integrated sustainability through policy, planning and governance innovations. It draws a conclusion with a recommendation of mixed-method approaches and methods of funding and indicators that need to be taken into consideration in response to Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 11) and Smart Cities Mission in India.

Keywords

Urban sustainability, triple bottom line, green infrastructure, sustainable cities, India, governance

1. Introduction

The issue of urbanization poses a challenge and opportunity of sustainability. Urbanization in India has created opportunities and threats both to the economy and innovation in India and, at the same time, has boosted inequality, pollution, and ecological depletion. According to UN- over 35 percent of the Indian population already lives in urban areas and it will rise to over 600 million by 2036 [1]. This type of transformation needs to be implemented in a co-ordinated approach that would create a balance in the environmental, social and economic pillars of urban development.

The triple bottom line (TBL) framework provides a good basis on which such integration can be well based [2]. The TBL does not view the sustainability as an objective of environmental focus but rather a people planet profit system. This framework can be applied to the development of Indian cities that are witnessing infrastructure deficits as well as government fragmentation and thus create a balanced decision making in terms of ecological restoration, social equity and economic opportunities.

This study aims to:

1. Become familiarized with theoretical and empirical foundations of the concept of integrated urban sustainability;
2. Explain how green infrastructure (GI) and nature-based solutions (NBS) have been used to create sustainability in India;
3. Recent transdisciplinary mixed-method and policy proposals to achieve SDG compatible results.

2. Conceptual Framework: Integrating the Triple Bottom Line

2.1 The triple bottom line

TBL approach had been initially suggested by John Elkington [2] and stated that the achievement of sustainability demanded pursuing environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and economic performance simultaneously. In the city, these aspects would be the clean air and water, equitable access to homes and amenities, and strong local economies. Such an integrative model criticizes the siloed aspect of sectoral planning: e.g. in which economic development is sought at the cost of ecological or social welfare.

2.2 Systems thinking and urban complexity

Urban systems are dynamic and interconnected. Bai et al. [3] argue that sustainability cannot be achieved through isolated interventions but requires systems thinking that considers energy, water, waste, mobility, and social systems as interdependent [3]. For instance, improving urban transport not only reduces emissions but also influences access to jobs and health outcomes.

2.3 Nature-based solutions as integrative mechanisms

Nature-based solutions (NBS) offer an effective means of operationalizing the TBL framework. They deliver environmental benefits (flood control, temperature regulation), social benefits (public health, recreation), and economic gains (reduced infrastructure costs, tourism) [4]. In India, NBS approaches are increasingly visible in policies such as the National Mission on Sustainable Habitat and the Smart Cities Mission.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Global urban sustainability discourse

Globally, sustainability research has shifted toward integrative and systemic approaches. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [5] highlights that cities are pivotal in climate mitigation and adaptation strategies. Urban sustainability frameworks now emphasize multi-level governance, inclusive participation, and data-driven decision-making [6]. Seto et al. [7] underscore that cities, as hubs of consumption and innovation, must lead the global sustainability transition. Integrating climate action with housing, transport, and land use can yield synergistic benefits, reducing emissions while enhancing quality of life.

3.2 Indian urban context

In India, urban sustainability has gained prominence through flagship programs such as the Smart Cities Mission [8] and the AMRUT initiative. Yet challenges remain: fragmented governance, inadequate finance, and uneven access to urban services constrain implementation

[9]. Roy et al. [10] note that while Indian policies reference "green infrastructure," institutional mechanisms for maintenance and equity remain weak.

3.3 Green infrastructure and urban resilience

Green infrastructure—urban forests, wetlands, parks, and greenways—enhances ecological resilience while promoting human well-being [11]. In India, Bhattacharya et al. [12] and Chakraborty and Chatterjee (2020) demonstrate that protecting peri-urban wetlands such as the East Kolkata Wetlands sustains livelihoods, treats wastewater, and mitigates floods. Pune's green space policies, similarly, promote mobility, recreation, and biodiversity conservation. However, Anguelovski et al. [14] caution that such interventions can also drive "green gentrification," where ecological improvements raise property values and displace vulnerable populations.

4. Methodology: Mixed-Methods Framework

This study employs a mixed-methods approach (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018), integrating quantitative spatial analysis with qualitative participatory methods. The framework consists of three interrelated stages:

1. Quantitative assessment – Use of remote sensing and GIS to map green cover, flood-prone zones, and service accessibility.
2. Qualitative inquiry – Stakeholder interviews and focus groups to capture social perceptions, governance dynamics, and equity concerns.
3. Integrative analysis – Scenario modeling and systems mapping to identify co-benefits, trade-offs, and policy options.

This approach supports both empirical rigor and inclusivity, aligning with India's emphasis on participatory urban governance under SDG 11.

5. Case Studies

5.1 East Kolkata Wetlands (West Bengal)

The East Kolkata Wetlands (EKW) exemplify how environmental, social, and economic systems intersect. Designated as a Ramsar site, the EKW comprise over 12,000 hectares of wetlands that recycle the city's wastewater through natural processes while supporting fisheries and agriculture [12]. This ecosystem sustains livelihoods for nearly 100,000 residents, combining ecological restoration with economic productivity (Chakraborty & Chatterjee, 2020). However, rapid urbanization threatens the wetlands through encroachment and pollution. Governance fragmentation—between municipal, state, and private actors—further complicates conservation [10]. Integrated management, supported by community participation and legal enforcement, is critical to maintain the TBL balance.

5.2 Pune's Urban Green Infrastructure

Pune's approach to urban green spaces demonstrates the potential for sustainable urban design. The city's green corridors, hill conservation zones, and riverfront developments integrate environmental planning with public accessibility [9]. GIS-based studies [16] show that these green networks mitigate heat islands, enhance mobility, and support mental health.

However, unplanned construction and land speculation threaten continuity. Addressing these

challenges requires adaptive governance, environmental budgeting, and collaboration between citizens, planners, and private developers.

6. Discussion

6.1 Environmental dimension

Environmental sustainability in Indian cities requires enhancing green and blue infrastructure, promoting energy efficiency, and managing waste and water sustainably. According to Elmqvist et al. [17], restoring ecosystem services within urban areas yields long-term resilience and reduces disaster risks. Cities like Chennai and Kochi are incorporating flood-resilient design principles following recent extreme weather events.

6.2 Social dimension

Urban sustainability consists of social inclusion. Sustainability can be achieved by ensuring that every citizen has equal access to services, is able to afford housing, and is involved in decision-making. The concept of green gentrification [14] suggests the necessity to incorporate equity protection in urban environmental policies. The participatory budgeting program and slum upgrading (e.g. the Sabarmati Riverfront resettlement of Ahmedabad) offer examples of inclusive urban governance programs.

6.3 Economic dimension

Green jobs, circular resource flows, and financial innovation are the principles of sustainable urban economies. Resources can be gathered towards sustainability transitions with the help of such instruments as green bonds, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and payment of ecosystem services (PES) [9]. Economic resilience is also about the promotion of informal economies, such as waste recyclers, artisans, and small-scale vendors whose activities play an important role in the sustainability of urban areas [1].

6.4 Trade-offs and co-benefits

When the three dimensions are incorporated, trade-offs are usually witnessed. An example is beautification of the riverfronts which can improve beauty at the expense of informal settlers. On the other hand, the benefits of retaining wetlands are that they aid in maintaining floods but limit development of real estate. Thus, the city planning should use multi-criteria evaluation tools to balance the social equity on the one hand with economic gain and environmental cost on the other hand (Gomez-Baggethun and Barton, 2013).

6.5 Governance and institutional innovation

The concept of urban sustainability requires the cross-sector coordination and multilevel governance. According to Ramaswami et al. [19], they suggest the use of so-called meta-principles that focus on integration on an infrastructure, ecosystem, and community level. The Indian urban municipal governments are able to institutionalize the urban sustainability cells to align the policies of climate, land use and social welfare. These structures should be anchored on transparency and participation of the citizens to create trust and accountability.

6.6. Sustainability Monitoring Indicators.

Monitoring needs to be effective, and it implies that measurable indicators of the

environmental, social and economic performance are needed. Table 1 presents a suggested set of indicators to the cities in India. The creation of standardized sustainability dashboards can lead to a higher degree of transparency and contribute to governance based on information [3].

Table 1 Indicator set for Indian cities

Dimension	Indicator	Data Source	Policy Relevance
Environmental	Green cover (%) change	Remote sensing	Tracks ecological health
	PM2.5 air quality levels	CPCB monitoring	Measures pollution trends
	Flood exposure (population)	NDMA datasets	Informs climate adaptation
Social	Access to green space (within 500m)	Municipal GIS	Equity in amenities
	Affordable housing ratio	Census/ULB data	Monitors gentrification risk
	Public participation index	Urban local body records	Governance inclusivity
Economic	Employment in green sectors (%)	NSSO data	Monitors economic transition
	Municipal revenue from green bonds	MoUD reports	Tracks sustainable finance
	Informal livelihood dependence	Household surveys	Ensures livelihood equity

7. Conclusion

The sustainability of Indian cities is defined by the need to incorporate the environmental protection, social inclusion, and economic resilience. The triple bottom line framework offers a rational way of navigating these interdependencies. The East Kolkata Wetlands case study and green spaces in Pune show how an environmental system can create social and economic values when designed in an inclusive manner. Nonetheless, it will take institutional reforming, innovative financing, and participatory governance to be integrated.

Since India cities are facing climate change, fast-growing migration, and inequality, the way forward should be focusing on systems thinking, nature-based solution, and community-based governance. It is not only that aligning these strategies with the Sustainable Development Goals (in particular, SDG 11) will make the city more resilient, but that it will also make it an engine of sustainable prosperity.

References

[1] UN-Habitat, World Cities Report 2020: The Value of Sustainable Urbanization. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Human Settlements Programme, 2020.

[2] J. Elkington, Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Stony Creek, CT, USA: New Society Publishers, 1998.

[3] X. Bai et al., "Defining and advancing a systems approach for sustainable cities," Nature Sustainability, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 101–110, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41893-021-00713-9.

[4] N. Kabisch, N. Frantzeskaki, and D. Haase, "Nature-based solutions for urban resilience and sustainability: A review," Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 374–389, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s43017-022-00286-9.

[5] IPCC, Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022.

[6] T. McPhearson et al., "Advancing urban ecology toward a science of cities," BioScience, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 198–212, 2016, doi: 10.1093/biosci/biw002.

[7] K. C. Seto, J. S. Golden, J. R. Albert, B. L. Turner II, and A. Reenberg, "Sustainability in an urbanizing planet," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 114, no. 34, pp. 8935–8938, 2017, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1606037114.

[8] Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD), Smart Cities Mission: Statement and Guidelines. New Delhi, India: Government of India, 2015.

[9] Washington, DC, USA: World Bank Publications, 2021.

[10] S. Roy, S. Byahut, and S. V. Subramanian, "Green infrastructure in Indian cities: Policy evolution and implementation challenges," Urban Planning International, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 20–32, 2021.

[11] J. Ahern, "Urban landscape sustainability and resilience: The promise and challenges of integrating ecology with urban planning and design," Landscape Ecology, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1203–1212, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10980-012-9799-z.

[12] S. Bhattacharya, A. Ganguli, and A. Mukhopadhyay, "Biodiversity, traditional practices and sustainability issues of East Kolkata Wetlands: A Ramsar site of West Bengal, India," Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, vol. 190, no. 11, p. 659, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10661-018-7029-7.

[13] S. Chakraborty and A. Chatterjee, "Integrating wetland ecosystem services for urban resilience: A case of East Kolkata Wetlands, India," Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 263, p. 110398, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110398.

[14] Anguelovski, J. J. T. Connolly, M. Garcia-Lamarca, H. Cole, and A. L. Pearson, "New scholarly pathways on green gentrification: What does the urban 'green turn' mean and where is it going?," Progress in Human Geography, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1064–1086, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0309132518803799.

[15] J. W. Creswell and V. L. Plano Clark, Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2018.

[16] Pallathadka, J. Lau, and M. M. Rahman, "Urban sustainability indicators: Space, scale, stakeholders, and dimensions," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 2105, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15032105.

[17] T. Elmqvist et al., "Benefits of restoring ecosystem services in urban areas," Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, vol. 14, pp. 101–108, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2015.05.001.

[18] E. Gomez-Baggethun and D. N. Barton, "Classifying and valuing ecosystem services for urban planning," Ecological Economics, vol. 86, pp. 235–245, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.08.019.

[19] Ramaswami, A. G. Russell, P. J. Culligan, D. B. Hillis, and J. S. Harkness, "Meta-principles for developing smart, sustainable, and healthy cities," Science, vol. 352, no. 6288.